

WHAT TO SAY REGARDING TRAFFIC NOTICES, WITH NO ACCIDENT!

Go slow and question everything, ask for facts and don't accept any opinions, these include the words law, statute, state, city, jurisdiction, license, constitution. Each one is an opinion and does NOT reflect reality or verifiable experience, ALWAYS ask them to tell you what it is FACTUALLY, Don't let them off the hook because you think you understand or you think it is obvious. If it's obvious, then it can be demonstrated easily. I don't take a position unless it is using one they have already spewed forth.

My opinions can be rejected, so I only use theirs, which of course will always contradict if I ask the rights questions i.e., do I have basic human rights, and does your control [jurisdiction] over my life depend on my freely given consent?

All non-lawyers are legally incapable of defending themselves REGARDLESS of education level:

"Even the intelligent and educated layman has small and sometimes no skill in the science of law. If charged with crime, HE IS INCAPABLE, generally, of determining for himself whether the indictment is good or bad. He is unfamiliar with the rules of evidence. Left without the aid of counsel he may be put on hearing without a proper charge, and convicted upon incompetent evidence, or evidence irrelevant to the issue or otherwise inadmissible. He lacks both the skill and knowledge adequately to prepare his defense, even though he has a perfect one. He requires the guiding hand of counsel at every step in the proceedings against him. Without it, though he be not guilty, he faces the danger of conviction because he does not know how to establish his innocence." Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335, 344-345 (1963) (emphasis mine).

When I stick to this attorneys insist I'm more than capable of defending myself. It's hilarious. I always ask whose opinion is relevant, the state lawyer, the judge or the Supreme Court's.

I remind them to be RESPONSIVE to the question I am asking. It's important not to improvise the questions, they are worded a certain way to purposely box them into a corner. ANYTHING that is NON-RESPONSIVE, including answers beyond yes or no, I object and say:

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

They will do and say whatever they have to in order to keep me off-point, including intimidation and disparaging me, I ignore it and keep them on point and request they be responsive. I don't **argue**, if they don't throw it out when it is clear I make a note of it and move on. I always remember not to go in to prove I'm right or to teach them something.

I challenge the appearance of the attorney claiming to represent the so-called "state." I use the questions in regards to the complaining party. I want the attorney to bring forth evidence of a complaining party. Without this evidence there is no case. He is to prove he represents someone other than himself who has standing to complain, i.e., I violated a legal right that resulted in damage.

I write the answers down. I always repeat throughout I am not a lawyer and I don't understand. And I always OBJECT to anything I think is not fair or proper and if I don't understand it.

Objections based on RELEVANCE are big with "state" lawyers. I expect tons of them and gear my questions to getting them or the judge to admit the RELEVANCE for me ahead of time.

Questions are geared to getting the judge to sustain an objection the witness is not able/competent to testify. When this happens [or any time the judge says the witness **cannot or is incompetent** to testify], I ask for all the testimony to be stricken. These questions can be used at the first appearance or when questioning a witness on cross-examination. I ask the cop the questions because I am supposed to be entitled to confront my accuser and demand the nature and cause of the charges and proceedings against me.

If my questions are not answered then I am not being informed of the nature and cause of the charges and proceedings and cannot defend myself, notwithstanding I am legally incapable of defending myself. I am not getting a fair and meaningful hearing.

Having them be non-responsive is part of the objective. OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

The judge will call the case and ask how I plead, guilty or not guilty.

Sir \Magistrate, I don't understand the charges I am not a lawyer, I don't understand the charges, I am here under protest I fear if I didn't answer this offer, I would be threatened.

[Sir I'm not a lawyer and I just don't understand these proceedings, [I intend on pleading guilty [I hand him the unsigned plea of guilty] and paying the fine, but] I do have some questions before I can do that.]

Sir I'm not a lawyer and I just don't understand these proceedings, I would like to discuss this matter...to understand what I have been asked here for.. Am I able to ask some questions?, in order for me to understand the charge?

[I repeat often that I'm not a lawyer and don't understand]

Am I entitled to a fair hearing? Yes or no. [proves RELEVANCE of following questions]

Am I entitled to a meaningful hearing? Yes or no.

What are the consequences, if any, if you don't give me a fair and meaningful hearing?

Am I entitled to be informed of the nature and cause of the charges and proceedings against me? Yes or no.

Would your orders and judgments be valid if I don't get a fair hearing? Yes or no.

Would you seek to enforce your orders and judgments if I don't get a fair hearing? Yes or no.

Would you seek to enforce your orders if there was no evidence of jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.

What rules, if any, govern the proceedings here?

Do you have to comply with all the rules? Yes or no.

Are there rules you can ignore? Yes or no.

If yes: Which ones, why?

Do the constitutions, Australia, nsw, govern these proceedings? Yes or no.

Do you serve the people of Australia?..yes or no

Do you have to comply with the constitutions, or are there clauses you can ignore? Yes or no.

If yes, then which ones? Why?

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Is this charge consistent with the constitutions? Yes or no.

Is everything [on this ticket] to this charge true and correct? Yes or no.

Would a meaningful and fair hearing require responsive answers to questions I ask? Yes or no.

If I ask questions because I do not understand what is going on, can I expect a responsive answer? Yes or no.

Am I entitled to responsive answers to my questions? Yes or no.

Would a hearing be fair and meaningful if I did not get responsive answers to my questions? Yes or no.

Can I get a fair and meaningful hearing if there is a conflict of interest? Yes or no

Are the prosecutor's arguments and legal opinions admissible as evidence against me? Yes or no.
Do they have to be supported by facts provided by a witness I am permitted to cross-examine? Yes or no.

Who has the burden of proof in the proceedings?
[From this point I expect the one with the burden of proof to answer my questions .THE LAWYER]

Are you, the judge and state lawyer [if there is one], permitted to testify in the proceedings? Yes or no.

For the criminal case is that burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt or preponderance of evidence?

For the civil case is that burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt or preponderance of evidence?

When you say evidence, does that mean facts? Yes or no?

Does the burden of proof apply to each element of the crime? Yes or no.

Are you an employee of LAW COURTS LTD? Yes or no?

Are you aware that LAW COURTS LTD, ACN 001 273 776 company limited by guarantee, and registered with the Australian Securities and Exchange Commission [ASIC] and not open to public shareholders, private shareholders only limited to 50, has under its control, incorporating, the Federal court of Australia, the Supreme court of NSW, the Federal magistrates court and the High court of Australia?

I present the relevant documents..

This is publicly listed on the back of the courts in Macquarie St

Are you aware that the commonwealth of Australia is listed in the US Security and Exchange Commission as a company? ACN 0000805157 yes or no

I present relevant docs

Doesn't this make all government bodies corporations? Yes or no

Who sold the Commonwealth of Australia and when?

Do you know the understand the word treason?

Are you aware of the 8 essential items of contract? Yes or no

Are you aware of the clause, open disclosure? Yes or no

Are you aware of the clause, certainty of terms? Yes or no

Do you understand the word treason? Yes or no

What is LAW COURTS LTD contractual relationship with the NSW police service?

When and where were the contracts signed, to sell the peoples courts to LAW COURTS LTD?

Where did the profits go and where do the profits go?

What is LAW COURTS LTD, contractual relationship with the RTA?

When and where were the contracts signed?

Where and who do the profits go to?

Does this make all employees working in the courts employees of a private company? Yes or no.

Doesn't this make all courts in fact corporations, fictions, and their decisions fraudulent? Yes or no

Do you understand the word treason? Yes or no

Why and how do I and the people of Australia, get justice from a corporation LAW COURTS LTD, ACN number 001 273 776, when I have non disclosure of contract no certainty of terms and of course contractors re-presenting themselves, and LAW COURTS LTD;not the people.

Do I have a right to confront witnesses against me? Yes or no.

If no, how can I get a fair and meaningful hearing if I can't confront the witnesses you rely on?

Do I have a right to challenge any and all evidence being used against me? Yes or no.

If no, how can I get a fair and meaningful hearing if I can't challenge evidence you rely on?

Could this court proceed against me if the alleged plaintiff lacked standing to complain against me? Yes or no.

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Would I have to enter a plea if there was no cause of action presented? Yes or no.

Would I have to enter a plea if there was no crime presented? Yes or no.

Would I have to enter a plea if the alleged plaintiff lacks standing to complain against me? Yes or no.

Would I have to enter a plea if there is no jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.

Would there be a crime if there was no jurisdiction? Yes or no.

Is jurisdiction an element of this alleged crime? Yes or no.
[Presumed innocent question will contradict his answer here if yes]

If no: So the one bringing the complaint is not required to prove jurisdiction? Yes or no.

Why?

Does this ticket\charge, present a justiciable case or controversy? Yes or no.

Would I have to enter a plea if a justiciable case or controversy has not been presented? Yes or no.

Do you agree with this United States Supreme Court ruling: courts only adjudicate justiciable controversies
United States v. ICC, 337 U.S.426, 430 (1949)?

Does that apply to you and this court? Yes or no.

This court only adjudicates justiciable controversies? Yes or no.

Does a justiciable case or controversy require the violation of a legal right? Yes or no.

(end of basic set up questions)

Is this a criminal complaint? Yes or no.

Am I presumed innocent of this alleged crime? Yes or no.

Am I presumed innocent of every element of this alleged crime? Yes or no.

So you Sir [judge] presume there is no jurisdiction? Yes or no.

[He may have already said I was presumed innocent of every element of the alleged crime, I may have to point out the contradiction, remember the burden of proof has already been established]

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Is there evidence of a complaining party?

A responsive answer is only yes or no.

I did not ask if there was a complaining party or who you think it is. I asked, is there evidence of a complaining party? Yes or no?

If yes: O.K., what is that evidence and who gave it to you?

Apparently, you think there is evidence here. Are you concealing that evidence from me? Yes or no.

Evidence of a complaining party consists of facts proving there are citizens and a state.

This requires showing a voluntary relationship of allegiance and a duty to protect.

This is impossible for them to do. Do you [Mr. Prosecutor] have any evidence to prove you represent anyone other than yourself? Yes or no.

[if on cross-examination to cop: is there evidence to prove Mr. Prosecutor represents anyone other than himself?]

Can you prove he/she/they have standing to complain against me? Yes or no.

Do you have evidence to prove one or more of his/her/their legal rights was violated? Yes or no.

If yes: Which legal right? ;;;;[Life, liberty, property. I don't help them out though.]

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

[If the judge enters a plea of not guilty, usually accusing me of refusing to plea]

Sir, on whose behalf did you enter that plea?

Do you represent me? Yes or no.

How can you enter a plea on my behalf and not be representing me?

Then on whose behalf was the plea entered?

[I may ask here]

Sir, who do you represent here?

[There are questions like this further down]

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

[Holding ticket] Is this a civil action? Yes or no.

Does this ticket present a valid cause of action? Yes or no.

Is this civil case in the nature of a contract dispute or a tort? (if they don't know or won't answer, I am not being informed so I cannot defend myself and he already told me a fair and meaningful hearing requires responsive answers to questions.)

Contract: is there evidence of a contract? Yes or no. (requires, at the minimum, an offer, acceptance, meeting of the minds and consideration plus at least two parties). If yes: And how many elements are there to a contract?

Does this ticket\charge present every element of a cause of action? Yes or no.

How many elements does a cause of action consist of? (Two, I don't tell them though)

What are the two elements of a cause of action? (breach of duty [legal right] and damage)

The supreme court has held these are the two elements: injury alleged must be, for example, "distinct and palpable," and not "abstract" or "conjectural" or "hypothetical,;" The injury must be "fairly" traceable to the challenged action, and relief from the injury must be "likely" to follow from a favorable decision.; Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737 (1984) (citations omitted) (emphasis added).

Where on this charge\ ticket is a distinct and palpable; injury presented?

What are the essential elements to a justiciable case or controversy? (breach of duty and damage)

Tort: Same problem as with contract, no injury.

If there is no evidence of a cause of action presented then the complaint should be dismissed, he has already said it would have to be.

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Is this ticket\charge consistent with the Australian constitution? Yes or no.

[There is most likely going to be an objection, calls for a legal conclusion, the witness is incompetent to testify! I ask for all the legal opinions to be stricken, including the ticket.]

[If they fight and object to the previous question without declaring the cop incompetent] Did you file an invalid charge/ ticket? Yes or no.

Would you knowingly file a charge\ticket that was not consistent with the Australian constitution? Yes or no.

Is this charge\ticket consistent with the NSW, Australian constitution?

Yes or no.

Is there a victim? Yes or no.

Have I been accused of violating someone's rights? Yes or no.

If yes, can you identify the right I allegedly violated?

[To clarify, was it some one's right to life, liberty or property?]

There will also be an inconsistency with the ticket where no victim is noted.

Did I violate the judge's rights? Yes or no.

Did I violate the peace officer's rights? Yes or no.

Did I violate any of your rights? Yes or no.

This civil action does not involve the alleged violation of a legal right? Yes or no.

How does someone acquire standing in a civil case?

Then please explain how the alleged plaintiff has standing to complain against me.

Is your purpose here today to protect rights? Yes or no.

Didn't you already state the charge\ticket is consistent with the Australian constitution? Yes or no.

Are you aware of section 92 of the Australian Constitution, stating 'on the uniform duties of customs and trade, commerce, whether by means of internal carriage and intercourse shall be absolutely free" yes or no?

How is this ticket\charge consistent with the Australian constitution when no ones rights have been violated?

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Would your [the judge's] actions and orders be valid if you [he] did not have jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.
[This question proves the RELEVANCE of the following questions.

That is why I ask it. I may have to come back to it.]

Does Mr. Judge have jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.

[If objection]Did you bring this charge\ticket to this court? Yes or no.

Do you understand the nature and cause of the charges and proceedings you instigated against me? Yes or no.

Then tell me, does Mr. Judge have jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no.

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no.

Who provided those facts to you?

Are they on this ticket? Yes or no.

So if I asked for the facts proving where, when, why and how you acquired your alleged jurisdiction over my life you could provide it? Yes or no.

Do you know and understand what jurisdiction is factually? Yes or no.

Factually what is jurisdiction? [Legal opinions, basically anything beyond CONTROL over people and property, is NON-RESPONSIVE, I OBJECT and MOVE TO STRIKE.]

Is jurisdiction control over me? Yes or no.

Do you exercise control over me? Yes or no.

Factually, how was your jurisdiction, or control over me, acquired?[I never accept the spewing forth of political gibberish here.]

Objection, Non-responsive, move to strike.

3/3

Does your control over me depend on my freely given consent? Yes or no

If I don't consent to your control over my life and property you'll back off and leave me alone? Yes or no

My consent to your control over my life is not required? Yes or no

Would it be accurate to state you acquired your control over my life violently? Yes or no. [This has to conflict with the previous question]

So your control over me is based on your coercion

Doesn't control imply ownership? Yes or no

Didn't you state I had basic human rights? Yes or no

Can you please explain to me how I have basic human rights that you respect while you take control over my life and property without my consent?

Am I your slave?

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Is the judge a party to these proceedings? Yes or no

Relevance was established earlier when told I'm entitled to fair meaningful hearing, conflicts of interest I'm not a lawyer, I don't understand

Are you [state attorney] a party to these proceedings? Yes or no

Is he a witness in these proceedings? Yes or no

Are you [state attorney] a witness in these proceedings? Yes or no

Is the judge permitted to offer testimony against a party? Yes or no

Are you [state attorney] permitted to offer testimony against a party? Yes or no

What prohibits you both from testifying?

May both of you disregard that? Yes or no

Would it be a fair hearing if either of you did? Yes or no

Who does the judge represent in all of this?

Who do you [the judge] represent here?

They may say: I'm the judge.;

Remember, explanations about the job or title are non-responsive i.e., I make decisions, rulings etc

That is non-responsive, move to strike, I know what your title is, I need to know who you represent here. On whose behalf are you appearing today?

The judge either represents the state; or he's there on his own behalf If he represents the state; or the people of the state,; then he represents the plaintiff If there on his own behalf, then he may not claim the constitution; as so-called authority

If the state; then there is a conflict of interest. I've already been told I can't get a fair hearing if there is a conflict of interest.

If you don't represent anyone and are appearing on your own behalf, then you are here on your own authority? Yes or no

How can you be here pursuant to the constitution; and at the same time be here on your own authority

If you are here pursuant to the constitution; then how could you not represent the state,; the plaintiff

What is the nature of your [the cop's] relationship to the judge?[Any type of relationship would imply a conflict of interest]

If there is no relationship then why is the judge holding me to answer your complaint

Please tell me why that is not a conflict of interest

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Is it still your opinion you [or the judge] have jurisdiction over me?

Is your opinion you have jurisdiction; arbitrary

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge

Except for physical violence, where, when, why and how was your alleged jurisdiction; over me acquired?;

What if they cite a Supreme Court; case or the law?

That is non-responsive, objection, move to strike, and ask for the facts again.

Would you have jurisdiction over me if I was not within the state? Yes or no [Also proves RELEVANCE of next questions.]

So if I am not within the state you have no jurisdiction over me? Yes or no

For your orders to have any validity I would have to be within the state? Yes or no

Is it your opinion I am within the state? Yes or no

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

And it's already been proven, by a preponderance of evidence that I am within the state? Yes or no

OK, factually, what is the state?

Remember prior testimony Point out the inconsistent statements, he said he had facts and evidence consisted of facts, not opinions.

This is an attack on credibility.

If they won't dismiss move on, I've made my case there are no facts

Any objection on RELEVANCE, is overcome by pointing out they admitted there is no jurisdiction unless I am within the state.

[If there are claims the state is the ground and similar nonsense] Are you telling me the prosecutor represents the ground

Would there be a cause of action against me if I was not within the state? Yes or no

Is it your testimony I am within the state? Yes or no

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

Factually what is the state

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

Would there be a crime if I was not within the state on [said date]? Yes or no

So there is no crime unless I am within the state on [said date]? Yes or no

Is it your testimony I am within the state? Yes or no

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

Factually what is the state

[If there are claims the state is the ground and similar nonsense] Are you telling me the prosecutor represents the ground

Can you tell me if the person or persons who set the state lines were\was a government employee\, or a private contractor\ ?..Yes or no

If they were private, could you please show me the contract I signed to agree to said boundaries ?..Yes or no

Are you aware of the 8 clauses of contract law, including, open disclosure, and certainty of terms ?..Yes or no.

Is a state line permanent? Yes or no ..

As the state lines are not permanently fixed, is the state real? Yes or no

Is that your arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

Would there be a cause of action against me if there were no traffic statutes? Yes or no

So without the traffic statute there is no cause of action or alleged violation? Yes or no

So, I didn't cause any damage correct? Yes or no

And I did not violate any one's legal rights correct? Yes or no

You have accused me of violating a statute? Yes or no

Did I violate the statute? Yes or no

And this statute is applicable to me? Yes or no

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

Factually what is a statute?

OBJECTION, NON-RESPONSIVE, MOVE TO STRIKE

If by a miracle he is permitted to answer and can actually tell me:

Do you have facts, currently within your knowledge to prove where, when, why and how this [whatever a statute is] actually became applicable to me? Yes or no

What are those facts

Would there be a crime if there were no traffic statutes [I use the specific one]? Yes or no

So without this traffic statute there is no crime? Yes or no

So, I didn't cause any damage correct? Yes or no

And I did not violate any one's legal rights correct? Yes or no

You have accused me of violating a statute? Yes or no

Did I violate the statute? Yes or no

And this statute is applicable to me? Yes or no

Is that an arbitrary opinion? Yes or no

So it's based on facts currently within your knowledge? Yes or no

Factually what is a statute

If by a miracle he is permitted to answer and can actually tell me:

Do you have facts, currently within your knowledge to prove where, when, why and how this [whatever a statute is] actually became applicable to me? Yes or no

What are those facts

I keep a record so I can accurately summarize what happened i.e .,

Conclusion, how can I be tried by LAW COURTS LTD .I am not a lawyer, I don't understand ,.
But, because I don't understand I had a few questions Despite being told I was entitled to a fair and meaningful hearing where I was entitled to responsive answers to my questions you have refused to answer questions and have not permitted me to defend myself., this is basic fraud and treason.

Peter of the family Melov